




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁶ : G01P 15/09, G01L 5/00	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/16735 (43) International Publication Date: 9 May 1997 (09.05.97)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US96/17726 (22) International Filing Date: 30 October 1996 (30.10.96) (30) Priority Data: 08/552,545 3 November 1995 (03.11.95) US (71) Applicant: TRUSTEES OF BOSTON UNIVERSITY [US/US]; 147 Bay State Road, Boston, MA 02215 (US). (72) Inventor: HUBBARD, James, E., Jr.; 61 Drew Woods Drive, Derry, NH 03038 (US). (74) Agents: CAMPBELL, Christopher, C. et al.; Baker & Botts, L.L.P., The Warner, 1299 Pennsylvania Avenue N.W., Washington, DC 20004 (US).	(81) Designated States: AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CU, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IL, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, TJ, TM, TR, TT, UA, UG, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE, LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published <i>With international search report.</i> <i>Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.</i>	

(54) Title: A SMART SKIN SENSOR FOR REAL-TIME SIDE IMPACT DETECTION AND OFF-LINE DIAGNOSTICS

**(57) Abstract**

A low cost, high bandwidth "smart skin" impact sensor (10) is developed for application to vehicle side impact detection and diagnostics. The sensor (10) is based on a piezo polymer smart skin technology and can sense impact location and impact energy in real-time (i.e., fractions of a millisecond) along a vehicle side panel. The sensor is simple to manufacture, is low cost in production quantities, and can be used in concert with other devices in a sensor suite to provide, reliable, efficient, energy managed airbag development. With proper spatial shading techniques - the sensing electrode (16) comprises a first and a second electrode (161, 162), the first sensor electrode (161) having a thickness linearly varying in inverse proportion to the thickness of the second sensor electrode (162) along the length of said sensing electrode (16) - it is shown that the sensor (10) can be designed also to report impact angle and the velocity of the impacting body.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
AT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
AU	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KZ	Kazakhstan	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	LI	Liechtenstein	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LK	Sri Lanka	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LR	Liberia	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LT	Lithuania	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	US	United States of America
FR	France	MR	Mauritania	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon			VN	Viet Nam

A SMART SKIN SENSOR FOR REAL-TIME SIDE IMPACT DETECTION AND OFF-LINE DIAGNOSTICS

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Field of the Invention

5 This invention relates to sensors and particularly piezo sensors capable of measuring strain energy, velocity, center of force and angle of impact of collisions, which may in turn be adapted for applications in vehicle airbag systems.

Description of the Prior Art

10 Frontal airbags are now a standard feature in most modern automobiles. Based on a mechanical triggering device originally proposed back in the 1960's, most of today's airbags are controlled by electronic accelerometers. The recent trend in modern airbag design is moving towards sophisticated systems that implement energy management, *i.e.*, the
15 application of less than 100% of the airbag's potential cushioning force upon deployment of the airbag. Based on factors such vehicle speed, intensity of the collision, and passenger weight, the energy managed airbag systems of the future will be deployed at variable rates ranging anywhere from less than 50% of the potential cushioning effect of the airbag to 100% of the
20 cushioning effect of the airbag. These considerations are important inasmuch as the characteristics of the driver or passenger may range in weight from a small infant to a large adult. Today's airbags deploy at only one rate: 100% force. However, in order to be deployed at anything less than 100% force, there is a need for more intelligent, highly integrated, low-
25 cost, high performance electronic sensor technologies.

 The design of advanced sensors for side impact airbag deployment is particularly challenging. Side impact airbag systems protect vehicle occupants in the event of a collision with the driver's or passenger's side of the vehicle. These systems are targeted for widespread use by Model
30 Year 1997-1998. Like the frontal impact airbag systems so prominent in today's vehicles, side impact airbag systems detect a collision by measuring

accelerations at the side of the vehicle .

In a typical side impact airbag application, an accelerometer is located within an electronic control module inside the door panel or near the door of the vehicle. The accelerometer senses the side impact. The
5 electronic control module continuously monitors the output of the accelerometer and interprets this data to look for the electronic signature of a crash.

The dynamics of a side impact, and particularly a side impact on a door, are such that once the vehicle side door is hit, the door beam and
10 outer panel deform significantly while the passenger compartment only gains a relatively small velocity change in the early stages of the crash. This difference in velocity between the side door and the passenger compartment manifests itself in the deformation or crush of the vehicle. Conventional accelerometers are typically located at three places on the door: one
15 immediately in front of the side door in the so-called A-pillar, one immediately behind the side door or in the B-pillar, and one at the center of the side door.

When a crash is detected, the side impact airbags are inflated to provide additional protection to the vehicle's occupants. Because the
20 dynamics involved in a side impact are significantly different from that of a front or rear impact, unique sensors are required for reliable side airbag deployment. Sensor-based companies are striving to build intelligent, low cost, highly integrated sensors for such an application, but to date few such devices are available.

25 Prior art side airbag sensing systems are designed so that upon a side impact, hopefully at least one accelerometer sensor will trigger. In actual practice, the side impact often does not jar any of the accelerometers to the degree necessary to create a crash signature. This occurs especially when none of the accelerometers are directly hit by the colliding vehicle.
30 Consequently, side impact airbag deployment systems, though attractive in

theory, more often than not prove unreliable in practice.

SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

It is an object of the present invention to provide a side impact
airbag deployment sensor which is responsive to a side impact regardless of
5 the location of the impact along the door panel and regardless of the velocity
of the impact..

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a
sensor capable of determining the energy of a side impact.

It is a further object of the invention to employ a piezo
10 polymer sensor along an automobile's door panel to sense a side impact and
to activate side airbags when certain characteristics of the side impact exceed
predetermined threshold values.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a
sensor capable of determining the speed or velocity of a side impact.

15 It is a further object of the present invention to provide a
sensor capable of determining the angle of a side impact.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide a
sensor capable of determining the location or center of force along the door
panel in a side impact.

20 It is still further yet an object of the invention to apply the
determination of the energy, the impact angle and the location of the impact
along a piezo polymer skin for deploying an airbag system on an automobile.

The sensor is based on the use of a piezo polymer smart skin
technology and can sense impact location and impact energy in real time
25 (*i.e.*, fractions of a millisecond) along a vehicle side panel. In addition,
when coupled with off-line diagnostics, the impact angle and speed of the
side impacting vehicle can be determined. These later two quantities (impact
angle and speed) could be important for insurance purposes to assist in
determining who, if anyone, was at fault in the collision.

30 The deformation sensitive "crush sensor" for sensing side

impacts is placed on the side door structure for effective and reliable airbag deployment. This location is essential since it is sensing the velocity change of the portion of the vehicle which will eventually strike the occupant. The dynamics between the struck and striking vehicle are functions of the impact location and angle, the impact energy as manifested by the impact speed, and the stiffness and weights of the colliding vehicles. The sensor is simple to manufacture, is low cost in production quantities, and can be used in concert with other devices in a sensor suite to provide reliable, efficient, energy managed airbag deployment system.

10 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic illustration of the piezo sensor film architecture according to a preferred embodiment.

FIG. 2 is a schematic illustration of the sensor architecture showing faceplates attached thereto.

15 FIG. 3 is a top plan schematic view of the sensor electrode according to the preferred embodiment.

FIG. 4 is a schematic block diagram of the sensor system electrically coupled to a control unit which in turn is coupled to a response unit for airbag deployment.

20 DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

FIG. 1 is an illustration of the basic sensor architecture. The sensor 10 is comprised of piezo layer (or film) 12 positioned between a pair of opposed, substantially parallel conductive layers 14, 16. However, other sensor materials could be used instead of a piezo polymer film. For instance, piezo crystals, fiber optics, strain gauges or any other electro-active material which generates an electrical output upon receiving an impact force may be used as the sensor material. One of the conductive layers is a substrate electrode 14 while the other is a sensor electrode 16. A pair of sensor support substrates 18, 20 are provided on the outside of the electrodes. Sensor support substrates 18, 20 are preferably metal faceplates

of 15/1000 in. thickness and about 2 ft. in length. Sensor support substrates 18, 20 are preferably clamped along a periphery thereof. For instance, rivets 22, 24 (as seen in FIG. 2) are one example of a clamping device that might be used to clamp faceplates 18, 20. The clamped boundaries of the faceplates 18, 20 ensure that, regardless of the angle of impact on the faceplate, the only force transmitted to the piezo film 12 is in a direction normal to the plane of the surface of the piezo film. In other words, the force is applied to the piezo film 12 along the 3-3 coordinate direction. In addition, the sensor support substrates 18, 20 preferably have one side coated with an insulating material and the other side forming a ground plane. The entire sensor structure is thus sandwiched between two thin metal faceplates, both of which are grounded and thus provides effective EMI shielding of the film for improved signal to noise response and environmental isolation.

The principle transduction element of the sensor preferably consists of a piezoelectric polymer, polyvinylidene fluoride or PVDF film. This film's electrical response is such that when it is subjected to an applied normal strain field, a resultant charge distribution $q(x,t)$ appears on the surface of the film according to the relationship:

$$q(x,t) = \left[\frac{k_{33}^2}{g_{33}} \right] \cdot \epsilon_{film}(x,t) \quad (1)$$

where;

$\epsilon_{film}(x,t)$ = applied normal strain acting on the PVDF layer;

k_{33} = electromechanical coupling coefficient

g_{33} = piezoelectric strain constant of the PVDF layer normal to the plane of the sensor or along the 3-3 coordinate

direction.

The substrate electrode 14 acts as a ground electrode during charge collection. As seen in FIG. 3, the sensor electrode 16 is a spatially shaped charge collecting electrode. Sensor electrode 16 is a composite laminate having an electrode 161 and a electrode 162. The 161 and 162 electrodes have a linear boundary 163 therebetween. Along the length of the sensor electrode between 0 and L_1 , the 161 and 162 electrodes vary in height h according to an inverse relationship. That is, as one electrode becomes smaller, the other becomes proportionally larger. It will be readily appreciated by those skilled in the art that separate leads are run from electrode 161 and electrode 162 to obtain linear weighting. In addition, it will be appreciated that an impact closer to point 0 will result in a relatively small voltage output for electrode 162 and a relatively large voltage output for electrode 161. The reverse occurs as the impact occurs closer to point L_1 . The shaped electrode 16 appropriately weights and integrates accumulated charge $Q(t)$ according to the following equation:

$$Q(t) = \frac{k_{33}^2}{g_{33}} \int_D b(x) \cdot \epsilon_{film}(x,t) \cdot dx \quad (2)$$

where;

$Q(t)$ = Sum total of all charge produced on the PVDF surface as a result of an applied compressive strain field and;

$b(x)$ = spatial profile of the charge collecting electrode as defined over the working aperture of the sensor.

The active PVDF sensor core 12, since it is grounded on both sides thereof to provide effective EMI shielding, is equivalent to a simple dielectric material located between two electrodes. A sensor output voltage $v_0(t)$ may, therefore, be derived based on a simple capacitor model:

$$v_o(t) = \frac{Q(t)}{C_{sensor}} \quad (3)$$

where;

C_{sensor} = total sensor capacitance.

Substituting $Q(t)$ from equation (2) into equation (3), the resulting multi-layered, laminated skin thus effectively generates a spatially weighted output
 5 voltage $v_o(t)$ whose value is proportional to the applied strain field.

The foregoing analysis assumes that the impact loading of the sensor 10 results primarily in an applied normal strain (along the 3-3 coordinate direction) on the PVDF core 12, and that no shearing of the active core, *i.e.*, PVDF film, material occurs. In order to ensure this, the sensor 10
 10 is preferably designed so that the in-plane or longitudinal displacements of both the top and bottom faceplates 18, 20 are identical. This will prevent shear strain in the active core 12 of the sensor 10 and the total shear force acting on the PVDF film 12 is negligible. The rivet bonding 22, 24 along the peripheral edges of the faceplates as shown in FIG. 2 accomplishes this
 15 objective. In addition to preventing PVDF core shear stresses, the faceplate end boundary conditions also ensure that any lateral deflection of the sensor 10, as a result of an impact load on the door beam, will cause purely compressive forces in the sensor core 12, thus generating a purely normal strain on the active PVDF.

20 With faceplate 18 rigidly bonded to the lateral door beam, considerations of force continuity in this system reveal the following relationship between the lateral door beam displacement that occurs during impact and the concomitant displacement across the PVDF sensing film 12:

$$y_{film}(x, t) = \frac{K_{fp1}}{K_{film} + K_{fp1}} \cdot y_{door}(x, t) \quad (4)$$

where;

$y_{film}(x, t)$ = displacement across the film,

K_{film} = spring constant of PVDF film layer,

K_{fp1} = spring constant of sensor faceplate 20, and

5 $y_{door}(x, t)$ = lateral deformation along the door due to impact.

Inasmuch as faceplate 18 is bonded directly to the door beam, the stiffness K_{fp2} of faceplate 18 is not a factor in determining the sensor output as it is driven directly by the door beam displacement. Only the relative stiffness K_{fp1} of the outer faceplate or faceplate 20, as compared to
 10 the film stiffness K_{film} , contributes to the applied strain field seen by the active PVDF core 12. If, for example, the film stiffness K_{film} was zero, large film displacements y_{film} are theoretically possible. If, on the other hand, the film stiffness K_{film} was large compared to that of faceplate 20, small film displacements y_{film} would occur (the denominator on the right hand side of
 15 equation (4) would be large) and only relatively low sensor output levels would be obtained. If the film 12 and faceplate 20 have the same stiffness ($K_{film} = K_{fp1}$), film displacement y_{film} would be one-half that of the door beam. A design trade-off must therefore be made which provides good signal-to-noise ratio as well as sensor performance robustness and longevity.

20 For purposes of the following analysis, the target vehicle is comprised of two relevant parts: the side door beam and outer panel, and the passenger compartment. Once the side door is hit by the impacting vehicle, the door beam and outer panel deform significantly while the passenger compartment receives only a small increase in velocity during the initial
 25 stage of the impact. Neglecting the inertia of the elements of the door, and assuming no dissipation of energy during the initial stage of the impact, the maximum strain energy U_{door} acquired by the door is equal to the original kinetic energy of the impacting vehicle. Expressed as an equation, this yields:

$$U_{door}(t) = \int_D P_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx = \frac{1}{2} m_0 v_{norm}^2(t) \quad (5)$$

where;

m_0 = mass of the impacting vehicle,

v_{norm} = normal velocity of impacting vehicle, and

$P_{door}(x,t)$ = impact force on the door.

5

More specifically for the door beam,

$$P_{door}(x,t) = K_{door} \cdot y_{door}(x,t) \quad (6)$$

where;

K_{door} = stiffness of door beam and panel,

$y_{door}(x,t)$ = lateral deformation along the door due to impact.

10 Substituting equation (6) into equation (5), the strain energy U_{door} is given as:

$$U_{door}(t) = K_{door} \int_D y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx. \quad (7)$$

If sensor 10 is rigidly attached as a smart skin to the door beam, it is constrained to assume the door beam's deformation profile. During the initial stages of impact, a linear elastic deformation of the door beam may be assumed, which in turn causes lateral deformation of the sensor 10 and results in an applied normal strain field ϵ_{film} acting upon the PVDF core 12 as given by;

10

$$\varepsilon_{film}(x,t) = \frac{y_{film}(x,t)}{h_0} \quad (8)$$

where;

h_0 = PVDF film thickness, and

$y_{film}(x,t)$ = film deformation due to applied force.

The sensor-door boundary conditions as expressed in equation (4) yielded
5 the following force continuity:

$$y_{film}(x,t) = \frac{K_{fp1}}{k_{film} + K_{fp1}} \cdot y_{door}(x,t) \quad (9)$$

From equations (2), (3) and (8) the resulting sensor voltage output $v_o(t)$ is given by;

$$v_o(t) = \frac{k_{33}^2}{h_0 \cdot g_{33} \cdot C_{sensor}} \int_D y_{film}(x,t) \cdot dx, \quad (10)$$

where the electrode 16 profile has been chosen such that $b(x)=1$, which is
10 simply that of a spatially uniform electrode distribution.

Equations (9) and (10) may then be combined to yield;

$$v_o(t) = G_1 \int_D y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx \quad (11)$$

with the gain constant G_1 defined as follows;

15

11

$$G_1 = \frac{k_{33}^2 \cdot K_{fp1}}{(k_{film} + K_{fp1}) \cdot h_0 \cdot g_{33} \cdot C_{sensor}} \quad (12)$$

Using equations (5), (6) and (11), the total strain energy $U_{door}(t)$ of the side impact can now be determined from the sensor output:

$$U_{door}(t) = \text{strain energy} = \frac{K_{door} \cdot v_0(t)}{G_1} \quad (13)$$

5

The impacting vehicle mass can be obtained from off-line diagnostics (*i.e.*, the mass of vehicles generally are known, and thus can be input at a later time), so the normal velocity $v_{norm}(t)$ of the impacting vehicle can be determined by combining equation (13) with equation (5):

10

$$v_{norm}(t) = \text{impacting vehicle normal velocity} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot K_{door} \cdot v_0(t)}{m_0 \cdot G_1}} \quad (14)$$

15

Since the analysis thus far has been restricted to normal or 3-3 strain components, the velocity component of the impacting body is described in terms of the plane normal to the piezo film 12. Moreover, the results obtained thus far have all been based on a spatially uniform charge collecting electrode 16 or more specifically, the parameter $b(x)$ in equation (2) having a value of unity. The electrode 16 of FIG. 3, on the other hand, may also be used in non-spatially uniform applications. Impact location or

20

"Center of Force" along the door beam can be obtained by simply linearly weighting and normalizing the output response given by equation (2).

By simply dividing a uniform electrode along its longitudinal diagonal, an electrode as depicted in FIG. 3 is obtained. Separate electrode leads are run from electrode 161 and electrode 162. Two possible electrode candidates 161, 162 for a linear weighted distribution are formed thereby. More specifically electrode 161 can be described as follows,

$$b(x) = b_0(L_1 - x) \quad (15)$$

where;

b_0 = generalized spatial gain constant,
 x = position on the sensor 16 along the x coordinate between 0 and L_1 (Fig. 3), and

L_1 = the characteristic length of the sensor working aperture.
 Similarly, electrode 162 is described by $b(x) = b_0x$ and equation (2), for electrode 162, can now be written as;

$$Q_{LIN}(t) = \frac{k_{33}^2 \cdot b_0}{g_{33}} \int_0^{L_1} x \cdot \epsilon_{film}(x, t) \cdot dx. \quad (16)$$

Similarly, using equation (11) with the spatial weighting factor $b(x)$ in equation (2), the voltage output v_{LIN} from the linearly weighted sensor electrode 162 is given by;

$$v_{LIN}(t) = G_1 \cdot b_0 \int_D x \cdot y_{door}(x, t) \cdot dx. \quad (17)$$

Now, the impact location or so-called Center of Force is obtained as the ratio of equations (17) and (11) (with equation (11) using a spatially uniform electrode distribution), that is;

$$\overbrace{0 \rightarrow \frac{b_0}{b_1}}^{COF(t)} \equiv \text{impact location} = \frac{b_0 \cdot \int_0^{L_1} x \cdot y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx}{b_1 \cdot \int_0^{L_1} y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx} \quad (18)$$

In equation (18), the numerator represents a linearly or spatially weighted electrode, i.e., representative of electrode 162. The denominator in equation (18), on the other hand, represents a uniform electrode, i.e., using the entire sensor electrode 16 without accounting for linear weighting. If, for example, both spatial gain constants b_0 and b_1 are chosen to be unity, then the COF sensor output will vary from 0 to 1 as the impact location varies from 0 to L_1 (FIG. 3) along the working aperture of the sensor 10.

The impact velocity along the axis of the sensor, or tangential impact velocity v_{tan} component can be obtained by taking the first derivative of impact location COF;

$$v_{tan}(t) = \text{tangential impact velocity} = \frac{d}{dt} \left[\frac{b_0 \cdot \int_0^{L_1} x \cdot y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx}{b_1 \cdot \int_0^{L_1} y_{door}(x,t) \cdot dx} \right] \quad (19)$$

The impact angle may therefore be determined from,

$$\tan^{-1} \left(\frac{v_{norm}}{v_{tan}} \right) = \theta_{impact} \quad (20)$$

It will be readily appreciated by those skilled in the art that a suitable processor will be electrically connected to the sensor electrode 16 to determine the energy, tangential velocity and location of impact along the

smart skin piezoelectric sensor. For instance, as shown in FIG. 4, sensor 10 is connected to processor 50 via electrical bus 52. Processor 50 is programmed to compute, based on the potential difference across electrodes 14, 16, the total strain energy, the tangential velocity and location/center of force of the impact. The processor manipulates the foregoing electrical signals to realize a real-time measuring system, and depending on the results of the energy, tangential velocity and location/center of force of impact, a response unit 60 may be activated to deploy the side impact air bag system alone or in conjunction with other safety mechanisms. In addition, off-line diagnostics may be used to determine the angle of impact and the normal velocity of the impact once the mass of the impacting vehicle is input into the diagnostic equipment.

A low cost, high bandwidth "smart skin" impact sensor according to the foregoing description is developed for application to vehicle side impact detection and diagnostics. The sensor is based on a piezo polymer smart skin technology and can sense impact location and impact energy in real time (*i.e.*, fractions of a millisecond) along a vehicle side panel. The sensor is simple to manufacture, is low cost in production quantities, and can be used in concert with other devices in a sensor suite to provide reliable, efficient, energy managed airbag deployment. With proper spatial shading techniques, it has been shown that the sensor can be designed also to report impact angle and the velocity of the impacting body.

The invention may be embodied in other specific forms without departing from the spirit or essential characteristics thereof. The present embodiments are therefore to be considered in all respects as illustrative and not restrictive, the scope of the invention being indicated by the appended claims rather than by the foregoing description, and all changes which come within the meaning and range of equivalency of the claims are therefore intended to be embraced therein.

I CLAIM:

1. An apparatus for sensing data characteristic of an impact of an object in an impact area comprising:
 - 5 a ground electrode positioned in the impact area;
 - a piezo polymer film at least partially disposed on and secured to said ground electrode;
 - a sensor electrode positioned to overlay at least a portion of said piezo polymer film in the impact area; and
 - 10 means for sensing total strain energy of the impact on said piezo polymer film based on the potential difference across said ground electrode and said sensor electrode.
2. The apparatus of claim 1 wherein the impacting object has normal and transverse velocity components with respect to the impact area,
 - 15 said apparatus further comprising means for sensing the normal velocity component of the impacting object.
3. The apparatus of claim 1 further comprising means for sensing the impact location along said sensor electrode.
4. The apparatus of claim 1 further comprising means for sensing
 - 20 the angle with which the object impacts said sensor electrode.
5. The apparatus of claim 1, said sensor electrode comprising a first sensor electrode attached to a second sensor electrode, said first and second sensor electrodes having a combined uniform thickness across the length thereof.
- 25 6. The apparatus of claim 5, said first sensor electrode having a thickness linearly varying across the length thereof.
7. The apparatus of claim 5, said second sensor electrode having a thickness linearly varying across the length thereof.
8. The apparatus of claim 5, said first sensor electrode having a
 - 30 thickness linearly varying in inverse proportion to the thickness of said

second sensor electrode along the length of said sensor electrode.

9. The apparatus of claim 1 further comprising first and second sensor faceplates, said first sensor faceplate overlaying said ground electrode and said second sensor faceplate overlaying said sensor electrode.

5 10. The apparatus of claim 9, said first sensor faceplate substantially completely overlaying said ground electrode and said second sensor faceplate substantially completely overlaying said sensor electrode, said first and second faceplates fixedly secured along perimeters thereof outside said ground and sensor electrodes, wherein said first and second
10 faceplates cause substantially all impact forces thereon to be transmitted in a direction substantially perpendicular to a plane surface of said piezo polymer.

11. A system for deploying airbags in an automobile comprising:
a piezo polymer sensor positioned on the automobile, said sensor
15 comprising:

a ground electrode positioned on the automobile in an impact area;

a piezo polymer film at least partially disposed on and secured to said ground electrode; and

20 a sensor electrode positioned to overlay at least a portion of said piezo polymer film in the impact area; and

means for deploying the airbag upon generation of a potential difference across said ground electrode and said sensor electrode.

12. The system of claim 11 further comprising:
25 means for comparing the potential difference generated across said ground electrode and said sensor electrode; and

means for deploying the airbags when the potential difference across said electrodes exceeds a threshold value.

13. The system of claim 12 further comprising means for sensing
30 total strain energy of the impact on said piezo polymer film based on the

potential difference across said ground electrode and said sensor electrode.

14. A method for deploying a vehicle airbag system upon impact by an impacting object comprising the steps of:

5 mounting a ground electrode on a portion of the vehicle subject to impact;

providing a piezo polymer film on at least a portion of said ground electrode,

overlaying at least a portion of said piezo polymer film with a sensor electrode;

10 determining a voltage output across said electrodes upon application of an impact force thereon;

comparing the voltage output to a predetermined voltage value; and

activating the vehicle airbag system when the voltage output exceeds said predetermined value.

15 15. The method of claim 14 further comprising the step of determining the total strain energy of the impact on said piezo polymer film in the impact area based on the voltage across said electrodes.

16. The method of claim 14 further comprising the step of determining a normal velocity component of the impacting object based on
20 the voltage across said electrodes.

17. The method of claim 14 further comprising the step of determining the center of the impact location along the piezo polymer film.

18. The method of claim 14 further comprising the step of determining the angle with which the object impacts said sensor electrode.

1 / 2




FIG. 1




FIG. 2

2 / 2




FIG. 3




FIG. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US 96/17726

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
IPC 6 G01P15/09 G01L5/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
IPC 6 G01P G01L

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	DE 37 03 630 A (BOSCH GMBH ROBERT) 18 August 1988	1,2,11
Y	see column 2, line 32 - column 3, line 25; figure 1	3,4,9, 10,12-18

X	EP 0 222 640 A (FRENCH BARRY J) 20 May 1987 see page 12, line 8 - page 14, line 12; figure 3	1

Y	GB 2 252 414 A (TAKATA CORP) 5 August 1992 see page 7, line 4 - page 10, line 16; figure 2	3,4, 12-18

	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents :

- * "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- * "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- * "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- * "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- * "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

* "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

* "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

* "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

* "&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

19 February 1997

Date of mailing of the international search report

12.03.97

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Nessmann, C

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Inter. Application No.
PCT/US 96/17726

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 010, no. 116 (P-452), 30 April 1986 & JP 60 244863 A (TOYOTA JIDOSHA KK), 4 December 1985, see abstract ---	3,4
Y	DE 41 35 784 A (TAKATA CORP) 7 May 1992 see column 8, line 12 - line 25; figure 4 ---	9,10
A	DE 37 16 168 A (BAYERISCHE MOTOREN WERKE AG) 24 November 1988 see column 4, line 49 - column 5, line 26; figure 2 -----	11-18

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/US 96/17726

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
DE-A-3703630	18-08-88	NONE	
EP-A-0222640	20-05-87	US-A- 4761005	02-08-88
		US-A- 4824107	25-04-89
		AU-A- 6345286	16-04-87
		US-A- 4883271	28-11-89
		JP-A- 62176471	03-08-87
GB-A-2252414	05-08-92	JP-A- 4248468	03-09-92
		CA-A- 2059444	05-08-92
		DE-A- 4201644	06-08-92
DE-A-4135784	07-05-92	JP-A- 4169853	17-06-92
		JP-A- 4169854	17-06-92
		CA-A- 2053559	03-05-92
		FR-A- 2669591	29-05-92
		GB-A,B 2250096	27-05-92
		US-A- 5282387	01-02-94
DE-A-3716168	24-11-88	NONE	